

The sum of the squares of degrees: an overdue assignment

Vladimir Nikiforov

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Memphis, Memphis, Tennessee, 38152

February 2, 2008

Abstract

Let $f(n, m)$ be the maximum of the sum of the squares of degrees of a graph with n vertices and m edges. Summarizing earlier research, we present a concise, asymptotically sharp upper bound on $f(n, m)$, better than the bound of de Caen for almost all n and m .

Keywords: *squares of degrees, de Caen's bound, sharp bound*

1 Introduction

Our notation is standard (e.g., see [3]). Specifically, in this note, n and m denote the number of vertices and edges of a graph G .

Few problems in combinatorics have got so many independent solutions as the problem of finding

$$f(n, m) = \max \left\{ \sum_{u \in V(G)} d^2(u) : v(G) = n, e(G) = m \right\}.$$

The first contribution is due to B. Schwarz [11] who studied how to shuffle the entries of a square nonnegative matrix A in order to maximize the sum of the entries of A^2 . Later M. Katz [9] almost completely solved the same problem for square $(0, 1)$ -matrices, obtaining, in particular, an asymptotic value of $f(n, m)$. The first exact result for $f(n, m)$, found in 1978 by Ahlswede and Katona [2], reads as: suppose r, q, s, t are integers defined uniquely by

$$m = \binom{r}{2} + q = \binom{n}{2} - \binom{s}{2} - t, \quad 0 \leq q < r, \quad 0 \leq t < s, \quad (1)$$

and set

$$C(n, m) = 2m(r - 1) + q(q + 1), \quad (2)$$

$$S(n, m) = (n(n - 1) - 2m)(s - 1) + t(t + 1) + 4m(n - 1) - (n - 1)^2 n. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$f(n, m) = \max \{C(n, m), S(n, m)\}. \quad (4)$$

Moreover, Ahlswede and Katona demonstrated that, if $|m - n(n-1)/4| < n/2$, finding $\max \{C(n, m), S(n, m)\}$ is a subtle and difficult problem; hence, there is little hope for a simple exact expression for $f(n, m)$.

Almost at the same time Aharoni [1] completed the work of Katz for square $(0, 1)$ -matrices. In 1987 Brualdi and Solheid [5], adapting Aharoni's method to graphs, rediscovered (4) and in 1996 Olpp [10], apparently unaware of these achievements, meticulously deduced (4) from scratch.

Despite this impressive work, none of these authors came up with a concise, albeit approximate upper bound on $f(n, m)$. In contrast, de Caen [6] proved that

$$f(n, m) \leq m \left(\frac{2m}{n-1} + n - 2 \right). \quad (5)$$

Denote the right-hand side of (5) by $D(n, m)$ and note that, for almost all n and m , it is considerably greater than $f(n, m)$ - in fact, for m around $n^2/4$ and n sufficiently large, $D(n, m) > 1.06f(n, m)$. De Caen was aware that $D(n, m)$ matches $f(n, m)$ poorly, but he considered that it has "... an appealingly simple form." He was right - his result motivated further research, e.g., see [4], [7], and [8]. Sadly enough, neither de Caen, nor his successors refer to the work done before Olpp.

In summary: the result (4) is exact but complicated, while de Caen's result (5) is simple but inexact.

The aim of this note is to find a concise, asymptotically sharp upper bound on $f(n, m)$, better than de Caen's bound for almost all n and m .

We begin with the following "half" result.

Theorem 1 *If $m \geq n(n-1)/4$, then*

$$m\sqrt{8m+1} - 3m \leq f(n, m) \leq m\sqrt{8m+1} - m. \quad (6)$$

Moreover, for $m < (n-1)(n-2)/2$,

$$m\sqrt{8m+1} - m < D(n, m). \quad (7)$$

This theorem is almost as good as one can get, but it holds only for half of the range of m . Since

$$f\left(n, \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m\right) = f(n, m) + 4(n-1)m - n(n-1)^2,$$

one can produce a bound when $m < n(n-1)/4$ as well. We state below a simplified complete version.

Theorem 2 Let

$$F(n, m) = \begin{cases} (2m)^{3/2}, & \text{if } m \geq n^2/4 \\ (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} + 4mn - n^3, & \text{if } m < n^2/4. \end{cases}$$

Then, for all n and m ,

$$F(n, m) - 4m \leq f(n, m) \leq F(n, m). \quad (8)$$

Moreover, if $n^{3/2} < m < \binom{n}{2} - n^{3/2}$, then

$$F(n, m) < D(n, m). \quad (9)$$

2 Proofs

To begin with, note that (2) and (3) imply that

$$S(n, m) = C \left(n, \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m \right) + 4m(n-1) - n(n-1)^2. \quad (10)$$

We need some preliminary results.

Proposition 3 For all n and $m > 0$,

$$(2m)^{3/2} - 3m < m\sqrt{8m+1} - 3m \leq C(n, m). \quad (11)$$

Proof Let $m = \binom{r}{2} + q$, $0 \leq q < r$. From

$$(8m)^{1/2} < \sqrt{8m+1} = \sqrt{4r(r-1) + 8q+1} < 2r+1$$

and (2) we deduce that

$$C(n, m) = 2m(r-1) + q(q+1) \geq 2m \left(r + \frac{1}{2} \right) - 3m \geq m\sqrt{8m+1} - 3m,$$

proving (11) and the proposition. \square

Proposition 4 For every $r \geq 3$

$$\sqrt{(2r-1)^2 + 8(r-1)} > \frac{2r^2 + 5r - 2}{r+2}.$$

Proof Since

$$\sqrt{(2r-1)^2 + 8(r-1)} = \sqrt{(2r+1)^2 - 8},$$

the desired inequality follows from

$$\begin{aligned} (2r+1)^2 - 8 &\geq (2r+1)^2 - 8 \frac{2r^2 + 5r}{(r+2)^2} \geq (2r+1)^2 - 8 \frac{(2r+1)(r+2) - 2}{(r+2)^2} \\ &= (2r+1)^2 - \frac{8(2r+1)}{r+2} + \frac{16}{(r+2)^2} = \left(\frac{2r^2 + 5r - 2}{r+2} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. \square

Lemma 5 For all n and m ,

$$C(n, m) \leq m\sqrt{8m+1} - m.$$

Proof Let $m = \binom{r}{2} + q$, $0 \leq q < r$. In view of (1) and (2), the required inequality is equivalent to

$$2r(r-1)^2 + 4rq + 2q(q-1) \leq (r(r-1) + 2q) \sqrt{(2r-1)^2 + 8q} - r(r-1) - 2q,$$

and so, to

$$(2r-1)r(r-1) \leq (r(r-1) + 2q) \sqrt{(2r-1)^2 + 8q} - 4rq - 2q^2. \quad (12)$$

It is immediate to check that (12) holds if $r = 1$; thus we shall assume that $r \geq 2$. If $q = r - 1$, then Proposition 4 implies (12) by

$$\begin{aligned} &(r(r-1) + 2(r-1)) \sqrt{(2r-1)^2 + 8(r-1)} - 4r(r-1) - 2(r-1)^2 \\ &= (r-1) \left((r+2) \sqrt{(2r-1)^2 + 8(r-1)} - 6r + 2 \right) \\ &> (r-1) (2r^2 + 5r - 2 - 6r + 2) = (r-1)r(2r-1). \end{aligned}$$

Assume now $r \geq 2$, and $0 \leq q \leq r-2$. Then Bernoulli's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} ((2r-1)^2 + 8q)^{3/2} &\geq (2r-1)^3 \left(1 + \frac{12q}{(2r-1)^2} \right) = (2r-1)^3 + 12q(2r-1), \\ ((2r-1)^2 + 8q)^{1/2} &\leq (2r-1) \left(1 + \frac{4q}{(2r-1)^2} \right) = (2r-1) + \frac{4q}{(2r-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (r(r-1) + 2q) \sqrt{(2r-1)^2 + 8q} - 4rq - 2q^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} ((2r-1)^2 + 8q)^{3/2} - \frac{1}{4} ((2r-1)^2 + 8q)^{1/2} - 4rq - 2q^2 \\
&> \frac{(2r-1)^3 + 12q(2r-1)}{4} - \frac{(2r-1)}{4} - \frac{q}{(2r-1)} - 4rq - 2q^2 \\
&= (2r-1)r(r-1) + q \left(2r-3-2q - \frac{1}{(2r-1)} \right) \\
&\geq (2r-1)r(r-1) + q \left(2r-3-2(r-2) - \frac{1}{(2r-1)} \right) \geq (2r-1)r(r-1).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (12) and of Lemma 5. \square

Proof of Theorem 1 The first inequality in (6) follows from $C(n, m) \leq f(n, m)$ and Proposition 3. To prove the second inequality in (6), set first

$$A(n, m) = \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m \right) \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 - 8m} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + m + 4m(n-1) - n(n-1)^2$$

and observe that (10) and Lemma 5 imply that, for all n and m ,

$$S(n, m) \leq A(n, m). \quad (13)$$

We shall prove that, if $m \geq n(n-1)/4$, then

$$A(n, m) \leq m\sqrt{8m+1}. \quad (14)$$

Setting $x = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - m$, this is equivalent to: if $x \leq n(n-1)/4$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& x\sqrt{8x+1} - x - 4x(n-1) + n(n-1)^2 \\
&\leq \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - x \right) \sqrt{8 \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - x \right) + 1} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} + x.
\end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Setting $g(x) = x\sqrt{8x+1} - (2n-1)x$, (15) is equivalent to: if $0 \leq x \leq n(n-1)/4$, then

$$g(x) \leq g \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - x \right)$$

Since,

$$g'(x) = \sqrt{8x+1} + 4x(8x+1)^{-1/2} - (2n-1) \geq 4x(8x+1)^{-1/2} > 0,$$

$g(x)$ increases with x , and $g \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - x \right)$ decreases with x . Hence,

$$g(x) \leq g(n(n-1)/4) \leq g \left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2} - x \right),$$

proving (15) and (14). Finally, if $m \geq n(n-1)/4$, then Lemma 5, 13, and (14) imply that

$$\max \{C(n, m), S(n, m)\} \leq \max \left\{m\sqrt{8m+1} - m, A(n, m)\right\} = m\sqrt{8m+1} - m.$$

This, in view of (4), completes the proof of the second inequality in (6).

Proof of (7)

To prove (7), assume that $m\sqrt{8m+1} - m \geq D(n, m)$. Then

$$\frac{2m}{n-1} + n-1 \leq \sqrt{8m+1}$$

and so,

$$4m^2 - 4m(n-1)^2 + n(n-1)^2(n-2) \leq 0,$$

implying that

$$\frac{2m}{n-1} \geq n-2,$$

a contradiction with the assumption about m . This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

To simplify the proof of Theorem 2, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6 For $m \leq n^2/4$,

$$S(n, m) \leq (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} + 4mn - n^3. \quad (16)$$

Proof Let $\binom{n}{2} - m = \binom{s}{2} + t$. Lemma 5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned} C\left(n, \binom{n}{2} - m\right) &= 2\left(\binom{n}{2} - m\right)(s-1) + t(t+1) \\ &\leq \left(\binom{n}{2} - m\right) \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 - 8m} - \binom{n}{2} + m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of (10), inequality (16) follows from

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\binom{n}{2} - m\right) \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 - 8m} - \binom{n}{2} + m + 4m(n-1) - (n-1)^2 n \\ &\leq (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} + 4mn - n^3, \end{aligned}$$

in turn, equivalent to

$$2(n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} - (n(n-1) - 2m) \sqrt{(2n-1)^2 - 8m} + 6m - 3n^2 + 3n \geq 2n. \quad (17)$$

Thus, our goal is the proof of (17). Note the for $n \leq 3$, inequality (17) holds for every m , so we shall assume that $n \geq 4$. Let

$$g(x) = 2(x+n)^{3/2} - x(4x+1)^{1/2} - 3x$$

and observe that (17) is equivalent to $g(n(n-1)-2m) \geq 2n$. We first prove that $g(x)$ is decreasing for $n(n-1)-n^2/2 \leq x \leq n(n-1)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} g'(x) &= 3(x+n)^{1/2} - (4x+1)^{1/2} - 2x(4x+1)^{-1/2} - 3 \\ &= 3(x+n)^{1/2} - (4x+1)^{1/2} - 2x(4x+1)^{-1/2} - 3 \\ &\leq 3x^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{n}{2x}\right) - \frac{6x+1}{\sqrt{4x+1}} - 3 \leq 3x^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{n}{2x}\right) - \frac{6x+1}{2x^{1/2}(1+1/8x)} - 3 \\ &= 3x^{1/2} + \frac{3n}{2x^{1/2}} - \frac{24x+4}{8x+1}x^{1/2} - 3 < 3x^{1/2} + \frac{3n}{2x^{1/2}} - 3x^{1/2} - 3 \\ &= 3\frac{n}{2x^{1/2}} - 3 = \frac{3}{x^{1/2}} \left(\frac{n}{2} - \left(\frac{n^2}{2} - n\right)^{1/2}\right) < \frac{3}{x^{1/2}} \left(\frac{n}{2} - \frac{n}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\right) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$g(n(n-1)-2m) \geq g(n(n-1)) = 2n^3 - n(n-1)(2n-1) - 3n(n-1) = 2n,$$

proving (17) and Lemma 6. \square

Proof of Theorem 2 Our first goal is to prove the second inequality in (8). Note that the function $g(x) = x^{3/2} - x$ is increasing for $1/2 \leq x \leq 1$. Indeed, $g'(x) = \frac{3}{2}x^{1/2} - 1 > \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} - 1 > 0$. Hence, $g(1-x)$ is decreasing for $1/2 \leq x \leq 1$. Hence, if $1/2 \leq x \leq 1$, then

$$g(x) \geq g(1/2) \geq g(1-x);$$

likewise, if $0 \leq x \leq 1/2$, then

$$g(1-x) \geq g(1/2) \geq g(x).$$

Therefore, setting $x = 2m/n^2$, we see that, if $n^2/4 \leq m \leq n(n-1)$, then

$$(2m)^{3/2} \geq (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} + 4mn - n^3$$

and, if $0 \leq m \leq n^2/4$, then

$$(2m)^{3/2} \leq (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} + 4mn - n^3.$$

In other words,

$$F(n, m) = \max \left\{ (2m)^{3/2}, (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} + 4mn - n^3 \right\}.$$

Lemma 5 implies that, for all n and m ,

$$C(n, m) \leq m\sqrt{8m+1} - m \leq (2m)^{3/2};$$

Lemma 6 implies that, for $m \leq n^2/4$,

$$S(n, m) \leq (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} + 4mn - n^3,$$

and so, in view of (4), the second inequality in (8) is proved.

Proof of the first inequality in (8)

To prove the first inequality in (8), assume first that $m < n^2/4$; we shall prove that

$$(n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} - n^3 + 4mn - 4m \leq S(n, m).$$

Letting $\binom{n}{2} - m = \binom{s}{2} + t$, in view of (3), this is equivalent to

$$(n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} \leq (n(n-1) - 2m)(s-1) + t(t+1) + 2n^2 - n, \quad (18)$$

Thus, our goal is to prove (18).

Bernoulli's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (n(n-1) - 2m)^{3/2} &= (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} \left(1 - \frac{n}{n^2 - 2m}\right)^{3/2} \\ &\geq (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} \left(1 - \frac{3n}{2(n^2 - 2m)}\right) = (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} - \frac{3}{2}n(n^2 - 2m)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$(n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} \leq (n(n-1) - 2m)^{3/2} + \frac{3}{2}n\sqrt{n^2 - 2m} \leq (n(n-1) - 2m)^{3/2} + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4}n^2. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand, from

$$n(n-1) - 2m = s(s-1) + 2t < s(s+1)$$

we see that $\sqrt{n(n-1) - 2m} < s + 1/2$. Hence, in view of (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} &\leq (n(n-1) - 2m)(s-1) + \frac{3}{2}(n(n-1) - 2m) + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4}n^2 \\ &\leq (n(n-1) - 2m)(s-1) + \frac{3}{2}n(n-1) - \frac{3n^2}{4} + \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4}n^2 \\ &< (n(n-1) - 2m)(s-1) + 2n^2 - n, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of (18). Since, by Proposition 3, we have

$$(2m)^{3/2} - 3m \leq C(n, m),$$

it follows that

$$F(n, m) - 4m \leq \begin{cases} C(n, m), & \text{if } m \geq n^2/4 \\ S(n, m), & \text{if } m < n^2/4. \end{cases}$$

implying the first inequality in (8).

Proof of (9)

To prove (9), suppose first that $n^2/4 \leq m < \binom{n}{2} - (n-1)^{3/2}$; then we have to prove that

$$(2m)^{3/2} < m \left(\frac{2m}{n-1} + n - 2 \right) \quad (20)$$

Assuming that (20) fails, we see that

$$2\sqrt{2m} \geq \frac{2m}{n-1} + n - 2,$$

and so,

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{2m}{n-1}} - \sqrt{n-1} \right)^2 \leq 1.$$

After some algebra we obtain

$$2m \geq n(n-1) - 2(n-1)\sqrt{n-1},$$

a contradiction with the range of m .

Suppose now that $n^{3/2} < m \leq n^2/4$. This implies

$$n^2 - 2(n-1)^{3/2} > n^2 - 2m > n^2/2,$$

and thus, by (20),

$$(n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} < (n^2 - 2m) \left(\frac{2(n^2 - 2m)}{n-1} + n - 2 \right).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & (n^2 - 2m)^{3/2} + 4mn - n^3 \\ & < \frac{(n^2 - 2m)}{2} \left(\frac{(n^2 - 2m)}{n-1} + n - 2 \right) + 4mn - n^3 \\ & = \frac{n^4 - 4mn^2 + 4m^2}{2(n-1)} + \frac{(n^2 - 2m)}{2}(n-2) + 4mn - n^3 \\ & = -\frac{n^2}{2} \frac{n-2}{n-1} - \frac{2(n-2)m}{(n-1)} + \frac{2m^2}{n-1} + (n-2)m \\ & = \frac{n(n-2)}{(n-1)} \left(2m - \frac{n^2}{2} \right) + \frac{2m^2}{n-1} + (n-2)m < \frac{2m^2}{n-1} + (n-2)m. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (9) and of Theorem 2. \square

Acknowledgement The author is grateful to Cecil Rousseau for his patient explanation of Olpp's paper and for interesting discussions.

References

- [1] R. Aharoni, A problem in rearrangements of $(0, 1)$ -matrices, *Discrete Math.* 30 (1980), 191–201.
- [2] R. Ahlswede, G.O.H. Katona, Graphs with maximal number of adjacent pairs of edges, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 32 (1978), 97–120.
- [3] B. Bollobás, *Modern Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **184**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1998), xiv+394 pp.
- [4] C. Bey, An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a hypergraph, *Discrete Math.* 269 (2003), 259–263.
- [5] R. Brualdi, E. S. Solheid, Some extremal problems concerning the square of a $(0, 1)$ -matrix, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 22 (1987), 57–73.
- [6] D. de Caen, An upper bound on the sum of squares of degrees in a graph, *Discrete Math.* 185 (1998), 245–248.
- [7] S. M. Cioabă, Sums of powers of the degrees of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 306, (2006), 1959–1964.
- [8] K. Ch. Das, Maximizing the sum of the squares of the degrees of a graph, *Discrete Math.* 285 (2004), 57–66.
- [9] M. Katz, Rearrangements of $(0, 1)$ -matrices, *Israel J. Math.* 9 (1971) 53–72.
- [10] D. Olpp, A conjecture of Goodman and the multiplicities of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* 14 (1996), 267–282.
- [11] B. Schwarz, Rearrangements of square matrices with non-negative elements, *Duke Math. J.* 31 (1964), 45–62.